*By phone.

2.4

Appearances continued: 1 2 For the Defendant: Akerman, LLP By: Adrienne Scheffey 1900 Sixteenth Street 3 Suite 1700 4 Denver, CO 80202 (303) 260-77125 Burns Figa & Will, P.C. By: Dana L. Eismeier 6 6400 South Fiddlers Green Cir. 7 Suite 1000 Greenwood Village, CO 80111 8 (303) 796-2626 For the United States of United States Attorney's Office America: By: Timothy B. Jafek 1225 17th Street 10 Suite 700 Denver, CO 80202 11 (303) 454-0100 12 Court Recorder: Clerk's Office 13 U.S. District Court 901 19th Street 14 Denver, CO 80294 15 Transcription Service: AB Litigation Services 216 16th Street, Suite 600 16 Denver, CO 80202 (303) 296-0017 17 Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; 18 transcript produced by transcription service. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

```
(Time noted: 1:15 p.m.)
 1
 2
               THE COURT CLERK: All rise. Court is now in
    session.
 3
 4
               THE COURT: Good afternoon. Please be seated.
 5
               Case number 14-cv-2887, a six year old case,
   Menocal et al. versus The GEO Group, Inc.
 6
 7
               Go ahead and make your appearances, please.
               MR. TURNER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Andrew
 8
 9
    Turner of the Kelman Buesher Firm, appearing for the
   Plaintiff class.
10
               And with me on the phone, primary counsel today,
11
12
   will be Mike Scimone.
13
               THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
               MS. SCHEFFEY: Adrienne Scheffey on behalf of The
14
15
    GEO Group. And I'm also here with Dana Eismeier.
               THE COURT: Old buddy. So I did receive something
16
17
    from Mr. Scimone, and I'll have -- who is going to speak for
18
    the defense?
               MS. SCHEFFEY: I will, Your Honor.
19
20
               THE COURT: Ms. Scheffey?
21
               MS. SCHEFFEY: Yes.
22
               THE COURT: Okay. Since the Plaintiff can't
23
   possibly be correct that I ordered the Defendant to do
24
    something and they didn't do that, I want you to address that
```

25

allegation.

MS. SCHEFFEY: Yes. So the issue that's before you today is an interrogatory that was served by Plaintiffs' counsel on January 6, 2020. So the response was not yet due until after our January 21st conference.

I believe that Plaintiffs' counsel is addressing your comment at the hearing that -- which is on page 6 of the transcript of the January 21st hearing, that a plaintiff may not be able to determine the square footage of certain areas where detainees have access within the building, but so far as raw square footage, that really, unless someone can prove to me differently, would not be state secret.

The current interrogatory asks for the dimensions and square footage of every single area down to the granular level of restrictive housing units, the number of fixtures and types of equipment in each room, the type of floor covering, that kind of detail.

We have objected on relevance, and also security.

As a compromise, we've offered to provide a raw square footage estimate of all of the areas as an aggregate the detainees claim.

THE COURT: Okay. All right, well, that's about quoting me accurately.

Mr. Scimone?

MR. SCIMONE: Thank you, Your Honor. We did think this issue had been resolved. The context at the time of the

conference, we were talking about a document request.

It is true this interrogatory is not yet due.

Imagine that the documents no longer exist, so the interrogatory is the right vehicle for this.

The issue in the context of that was that we're seeking the square footage of areas where detainees cleaned, and then so then an expert can then weigh in on what the costs to GEO would have been to use non-detainee labor.

And so we thought that was clear at the time that we were seeking not the total square footage of the entire facility, but specific square footage of the areas where detainees clean.

And so that was the context for that discussion on the January 21st conference. That is still what we're seeking.

There's another interrogatory that's not an issue that we served, which identifies the specific areas within the facility that were a part of the cleaning program.

And so we now have the ability to narrow the exact areas that we need square footage for with that response so we can be a little bit more tailored in terms of what we're actually seeking.

But that remains the basic thrust of what we need.

The reason that the total aggregate square footage is inadequate is because our expert is going to base the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6 estimate on things such as the floor covering. So a linoleum surface may need a different kind of cleaning than a carpeted area, for example. And so knowing the square footage in different areas, what kind of surface is being cleaned, and what fixtures need to be cleaned within the area, is kind of the basic raw material for the expert's report. THE COURT: Okay. But why didn't you press the issue before? I clearly said and focused on raw square footage, and in response you said nothing. So why didn't you make this clarification at the time on January 21st? MR. SCIMONE: Your Honor, we thought it had been made clear from the -- part of prior discussions and from the submission made to the Court that we were seeking --THE COURT: Mr. Scimone, hold on a second. I

THE COURT: Mr. Scimone, hold on a second. I don't doubt what you were seeking. I'm telling you what I said in response to what you were seeking.

Why didn't you press the issue then if you didn't like my response?

MR. SCIMONE: Well, Your Honor, we did like the response. We thought it was addressing the context that has been described, and I think we had a misunderstanding as to what the Court was ruling on.

We understood that -- the issue to be whether or

not that was -- that information was confidential and posed security concerns.

The comment at the conference was that you didn't believe the square footage -- raw square footage was confidential. We had offered attorneys' eyes only, and so there was an added layer of protection, and so we thought from the context that the Court meant that the information we were seeking, which was about the square footage of the areas being cleaned, was actually what was under discussion.

THE COURT: Right. Well, hold on a second. So if you read the transcript, what I said was square footage can be determined by walking around the building just once, if you wanted to.

So we were not on the same wavelength if you look at the transcript. I was focused on the square footage of the entire building, and I don't think there was any more discussion on that point anyway.

So that's water under the bridge. We're back.

You want square footage of specific areas. I wish you would have brought it up then. We wouldn't have had the delay in a six year old case.

But let's talk about it. How many areas, Mr. Scimone, do you believe have been identified in which your clients engaged in labor?

MR. SCIMONE: There are ten areas identified by

reference that with some other documents to be certain.

1 But in general, I believe that the cleaning was 2 using mops and similar equipment. There was a floor waxer I know was involved in some areas. 3 4 THE COURT: Like a buffer? Did they use a buffing machine? 5 6 MR. SCIMONE: Right. Yes. THE COURT: You know that, or you're guessing? 7 MR. SCIMONE: I have seen references to a buffer 8 9 and floor waxer being used in some documents, Your Honor, yes. 10 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So we're talking 11 12 about -- now, I've been involved in the corrections business 13 since '92. And I know that, you know, layouts, locations, 14 egress, ingress, wiring, other things like that, would give 15 people who mean to do harm information. I'm not sure that the square footage falls in 16 17 those categories, if we're not talking dimensions. He's not 18 asking for dimensions. He's asking for square footage. 19 So --20 MS. SCHEFFEY: If I may? THE COURT: If somebody said it's 540 square feet, 21 22 they don't know if it's 10 by 54, or 22 by 25. 23 Go ahead. MS. SCHEFFEY: If I may clarify, they have asked 24

for -- in this schematic that's been provided, which is

```
confidential, and I have a copy if I could approach.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Yes, go ahead. You have to be near a
 3
   microphone, so just hand it to me and then you can go back.
 4
               Thank you.
 5
               MS. SCHEFFEY: They have sought the dimensions and
    square footage of each area depicted herein.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: Well, --
               MS. SCHEFFEY: That goes down to the granular
 8
 9
    level of the cell, the restrictive housing unit, the
10
    bathrooms, I mean, every single -- the medical unit, intake.
    It's the entire facility.
11
12
               THE COURT: Did they clean the judge's area?
               MS. SCHEFFEY: No, they wouldn't have cleaned
13
14
    every area, but it's -- I understand now that the
15
    interrogatory has been limited by agreement here to areas
    they cleaned.
16
17
               THE COURT: Right.
18
               MS. SCHEFFEY: But they did clean their own cells,
19
    right, and their own living areas.
20
               THE COURT: Sure.
               MS. SCHEFFEY: They have cleaned -- that would
21
22
    include restrictive housing. They cleaned the hallways, and
23
    I think --
24
               THE COURT: You can be seated if you want.
25
               MS. SCHEFFEY: Okay. I think knowing the distance
```

```
of the hallway, for example, from the entrance all the way to
 1
 2
    the first secure area, might be something that an individual
    who has a --
 3
 4
               THE COURT: But they're not asking for distances
 5
    or dimensions.
                    They're asking for raw square footage.
 6
          MS. SCHEFFEY: Well, no. We've offered raw square
              They have asked for the dimensions and square
 7
    footage.
    footage, unless I'm misunderstanding.
 9
               THE COURT: Why do you need the dimensions if you
10
   have the square footage?
                             I don't, Your Honor.
11
               MR. SCIMONE:
12
               MS. SCHEFFEY: Okay.
               MR. SCIMONE: Square footage is sufficient.
13
               THE COURT: I think dimensions would be --
14
               MR. SCIMONE: We just need the square footage.
15
               THE COURT: -- would be more of a security issue
16
17
    than raw footage, because again it could be any shape at all,
18
    and square footage just doesn't help that much as far as
    somebody who wants to plan some kind of a -- unless they're
19
20
    calculating how many people they need per square foot to do
    the dirty deed, which I don't think they're smart enough to
21
22
    do that kind of thing.
23
               So anyway, --
               MS. SCHEFFEY: Just to clarify. Would that be
24
25
    aggregate of everywhere they clean?
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
THE COURT: Well, I think it's probably per area.
Are you seeking it per area? Because probably they're going
to say that if an area has carpet versus linoleum, different
labors is involved, different time, things like that. You
don't use a buffer on carpets, so.
           MS. SCHEFFEY: Yeah, I get that. I just think we
then turn in to -- I mean, the areas are these hallways,
which are the main hallways through the area.
           You're getting to if you know that this hallway
is, you know, a hundred square feet, there's only so many
ways you slice that.
           THE COURT: Right. So how many hallways do they
want?
           MS. SCHEFFEY: I think it looks like -- I don't --
they said every single one, but I imagine it's only going to
be four long ones, and then you're going to have --
           THE COURT: Okay, just provide them the aggregate
of all hallways.
           MS. SCHEFFEY: Aggregate of hallways, okay.
           THE COURT: Are all the hallways the same
composition as far as the floor?
           MS. SCHEFFEY: Yes. And just to be clear, --
           THE COURT: And hallways don't have fixtures,
probably. I mean, desks and things.
           MS. SCHEFFEY: Not much. And they have
```

photographs from the ICE inspection that happened last week. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. MS. SCHEFFEY: So they should be able to 3 determine, you know, general floor type, that kind of thing, 4 5 for cleaning. THE COURT: Okay. And do you know enough about 6 what they do to say that they're given a bottle of some kind 7 of disinfectant or furniture polish and a rag, and they do 9 furniture and they do things like that? Do you know that? 10 MS. SCHEFFEY: So, yeah, it depends. In the 11 medical area, for example, they only do the floors. That's mops, sweeping. 12 Same with intake and just general hallways. 13 And then in their own units, they are given a mop 14 and a spray bottle to clean their tables and --15 THE COURT: Okay. Do the Plaintiffs know this 16 already? Do you know this already? What she just said. 17 18 MR. TURNER: I'm sorry, Judge? THE COURT: Do the Plaintiffs know that 19 20 information already? What she just put on the record. 21 MR. TURNER: What I think might be useful, so 22 we're not back for clarification, would be aggregate square 23 footage by floor type, right? So we're talking about so much carpet, we're 24 25 talking about so much tile, we're talking about so much

```
unfinished concrete, right?
 1
               THE COURT: That would be fine. I think that
 2
    would be even easier.
 3
 4
               MS. SCHEFFEY: Yeah.
 5
               MR. TURNER: And if you can index that to an area
 6
    in the aggregate, then we can know which crew was on it.
 7
               THE COURT: Right.
 8
               MS. SCHEFFEY: Right.
 9
               MR. TURNER: So that's the issue.
10
               THE COURT: So you believe that as to any one
11
    floor type, the same type of work would have been performed
12
   no matter where it is in the facility, except in the medical
   area, which she said it is only the floor.
13
               MR. TURNER: I didn't mean to parse it too finely,
14
    Your Honor. But, you know, we've got kitchen workers doing
15
    kitchen work. We've got laundry workers doing laundry work.
16
17
               THE COURT: Right.
18
               MR. TURNER: I do want to defer to Mr. Scimone,
19
    though.
20
               THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Scimone? You've heard our
    discussion. What kind of clarification do you seek beyond
21
22
    what we've discussed?
23
               MR. SCIMONE: Your Honor, yeah, I think as long as
   we're able to cross-reference what surface area is being
24
```

cleaned, you know, by square footage, that should be

sufficient for that part.

With respect to fixtures, anything that needed to be cleaned by that particular work crew, I think is what we need to know about.

And so, you know, again, we can cross-reference this by work crew and what instructions they received with other documents. But, so that -- I think knowing this by area is probably helpful.

THE COURT: Wait. Is it --

MR. SCIMONE: For each of the areas they've identified.

THE COURT: Is the type of work performed a material issue in the case, versus just the hours worked?

MR. SCIMONE: No, just the hours worked is really the issue.

THE COURT: Right. And so why does it matter what they were doing, as long as you know how long they were doing it?

MR. SCIMONE: So, you know, an expert would be able to give an estimate of the typical amount of man hours needed for that kind of work.

I think what may change the outcome of that estimate slightly would be if there are other kinds of equipment that GEO might have invested in, that it needed to pay service contract pay to do that work, and so it's

that regard.

but not actual hours worked.

possible that there's a more updated model floor buffer that they might have used, for example. That would have been cheaper in the aggregate.

And so that's another way of arriving at this rather than just using the raw number of hours.

And so the point of it is to see sort of a couple of different ways how you might value that work.

THE COURT: So you're going to be estimating damages based on an expert's testimony as to how long it should have taken? There's no actual evidence of hours worked by the people who actually did the work or by the Defendant? Everything is going to be just expert testimony?

MR. SCIMONE: There is little evidence of the hours actually worked, Your Honor. So we have shift times,

And so, you know, there are some inferences that would need to be drawn, so the expert testimony is helpful in

THE COURT: Does your expert have experience in that type of work done by detainees or inmates, versus out in the general marketplace?

MR. SCIMONE: His experience would be primarily in the general marketplace, but I think the presumption is that the labor should not be particularly different whether done by a detainee or someone else.

```
THE COURT: Okay. Do you guys think you know what
 1
 2
    to do?
               MS. SCHEFFEY: I think so.
 3
 4
               THE COURT: Okay.
 5
               MS. SCHEFFEY: I think we will give aggregate
    square footage, like floor covering --
 6
 7
               THE COURT: Per type of flooring. Yeah.
               MS. SCHEFFEY: And if there's an objection, then
 8
 9
   I've gotten it wrong.
10
               THE COURT: Have you guys had a chance to do a
   walk through?
11
12
               MR. TURNER: We did that last week, Your Honor.
13
               THE COURT: Every area that inmates worked?
               MS. SCHEFFEY: Yes, Your Honor.
14
15
               THE COURT: So they had the opportunity to observe
16
    whatever is in there as far as fixtures?
17
               MS. SCHEFFEY: And there are photographs that ICE
18
   will be releasing at some point in the near future.
19
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. Sounds like there's
20
   plenty of data out there to give an expert, so okay.
21
               MR. SCIMONE: And, Your Honor, just one point of
22
   clarification --
23
               THE COURT: Sure.
24
              MR. SCIMONE: -- about the use of the term
25
   aggregate. You know, so because we do have those photographs
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

showers that they get.

```
and we can determine things like fixtures, and we can
determine them for a particular room, and so if there's
aggregate square footage being given, for example, the
hallways are an aggregate of so many thousand square feet, --
           THE COURT: Sure.
           MR. SCIMONE: -- I think we would just ask that
that be identified as the hallway, per se, and that the pod
areas in the aggregate are so many square feet, so that we
can then match fixtures of those different areas.
           MS. SCHEFFEY: I guess I'm wondering which
fixtures need to be matched. Would it be helpful to have an
aggregate number of showers, for example? Is there any
reason they have to be matched?
           MR. SCIMONE: Yes as to the showers. And I think
the general notion is anything that is part of the cleaning
crew's responsibilities, which I know does include showers.
           I think, yes, that would be an efficient way to do
it.
           MS. SCHEFFEY: We can provide the aggregate number
of showers.
           THE COURT: Could you take some more down to the
ADX? They really need more showers.
           MS. SCHEFFEY:
                          I'll do my best.
           THE COURT: Inmates do not like the number of
```

1 Okay. All right. Is that going to be 2 satisfactory, Mr. Scimone? 3 MR. SCIMONE: I believe so, Your Honor. Yes. 4 THE COURT: Now, you have a motion pending. And I 5 guess what I haven't checked on is whether Judge Kane has set 6 any new deadlines at all. Has Judge Kane done any deadlines at all for you guys that I have to be working within? 7 MS. SCHEFFEY: Not that I'm aware of, Your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. So, I mean, I just don't like 10 to stick my nose into things when you guys are all agreeing, 11 because you're the ones that have the interest in getting this thing to trial on both sides. 12 So that's all fine with me, and docket 241 will be 13 14 granted. 15 What else can I do to make sure this doesn't become an eight-to-ten year old case? Anything? 16 17 MR. SCIMONE: Your Honor, the only other issue 18 I'll raise, so we do have 30(b)(6) depositions coming up, and so this is by way of a status report on some issues that were 19 20 discussed at the last conference with respect to whether ICE 21 has any privilege assertions that it wants to make in the 22 case. 23 So those depositions are next Thursday and Friday, the 27th and 28th. We've asked ICE whether they're asserting 24 25 any objection in advance, or any privilege claims as to

anticipated testimony, and whether it's going to send anyone to the deposition.

The response we've gotten is that ICE is reviewing the request. So at this point, we don't know.

I will say I'm aware of other cases in which GEO has been a party, with similar claims and similar defenses.

The practice generally is that ICE sends a letter a day or two in advance of the deposition.

In this case, ICE had said that it will communicate that information to GEO and expect that GEO will communicate that information to us.

THE COURT: Well, if I were a government lawyer,
I'd say "show me the questions you're going to ask me, and I
will tell you whether there is any privilege I'm going to be
asserting."

But I think without knowing what you're going to ask, sure, some of us could figure out some of the things you're going to ask, but not everything.

But if you've taken these kinds of depositions before, Mr. Scimone, then you know what you're going to hear, because although the government is not one monolithic all knowing system, they are trained in the same privileges.

And I think you can probably do as good a job anticipating the objections that -- or privileges that they'll assert as they could in telling you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
But we do have an Assistant U.S. attorney.
Jafek, do you know whether any lawyer will be appearing to
assert objections, since witnesses really shouldn't be doing
that?
           MR. JAFEK: I don't know yet. As Mr. Scimone
said, our usual practice is to issue a Touhy response.
           So our position is --
           THE COURT: Well, that's required by law.
           MR. JAFEK: That's right. So our position is GEO
made the Touhy request, we'll respond to GEO.
           THE COURT: Are you within the ten days, still?
Is Touhy -- that's FOIA. What's a Touhy response time? I
don't remember.
           MR. JAFEK: You know, I'm not sure what those
deadlines are.
           THE COURT:
                      Okay.
           MR. JAFEK: But we haven't -- it hasn't been that
long since specific dates and specific people have been
identified.
           THE COURT: Okay.
           MR. JAFEK: So we are going to go through the
30(b)(6) topics and talk about topic-by-topic.
           THE COURT: And you'll give a response?
           MR. JAFEK: Yes.
           THE COURT: So that's coming, Mr. Scimone.
```

```
1
               MR. JAFEK:
                          Yes.
 2
               THE COURT: Okay?
 3
               MR. SCIMONE:
                             Good.
 4
               THE COURT: Very good. What else can we do from
 5
    the Plaintiff today?
 6
               MR. SCIMONE: Nothing further from us, Your Honor.
 7
               THE COURT: Thank you. And on the defense side,
 8
    anything you want to raise?
 9
               MS. SCHEFFEY: No.
10
               THE COURT: And where are you guys on mediation?
11
    Is there something set? Is that right? Did I hear that
12
    maybe in front of Judge Crews or something?
13
               MS. SCHEFFEY: I don't think anything is set.
               THE COURT: No. That was a different case.
14
15
               MS. SCHEFFEY: But there is -- I know --
               THE COURT: I think --
16
17
               MS. SCHEFFEY: -- it's pending with our client.
18
    There is a request.
               THE COURT: We have a demand.
19
20
               MS. SCHEFFEY: We have a demand, uh-huh.
21
               THE COURT: Okay. Well, Judge Kane would be a big
22
    fan of that, in case you wanted to know.
23
               MR. SCIMONE: If I could, Your Honor, we haven't
24
    issued a demand. There's a set of prospective injunctive
25
   relief that the parties were speaking about in general terms,
```

but we haven't issued a demand per se. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. So you're trying to get the 3 hard stuff out of the way, as far as injunctive relief and 4 working with the U.S. Government on that, what they would 5 agree to? 6 MS. SCHEFFEY: Right. 7 THE COURT: Yeah, okay. All right, well if I can be of any help on that, too, let me know. 9 So, okay. Thank you guys. 10 MS. SCHEFFEY: Thank you so much. 11 THE COURT: Have a great rest of the week. 12 MS. SCHEFFEY: We appreciate it. 13 THE COURT: Take care. 14 (Time noted: 1:38 p.m.) 15 16 CERTIFICATE 17 I, RANDEL RAISON, certify that the foregoing is a 18 correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, to 19 20 the best of my ability. Eandel Paisur 21 22 23 June 17, 2020 24 Randel Raison 25