	C	ase 5:17-cv-02514-JGB-SHK Document 300-1 #:6251	Filed 08/21/20 Page 1 of 5 Page ID
AKERMAN LLP 601 WEST FIFTH STREET, SUITE 300	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 20 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20		DISTRICT COURT FORNIA – EASTERN DIVISION Case No. 5:17-cv-02514-JGB-SHKx Assigned to Hon. Jesus G. Bernal DECLARATION OF ALICIA Y. HOU IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT THE GEO GROUP, INC.'S EX PARTE APPLICATION TO EXTEND EXPERT REBUTTAL DEADLINE, DISCOVERY CUTOFF, DEADLINE TO FILE SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTIONS, AND NON- DISCOVERY MOTION CUTOFF TAC Filed: September 16, 2019 SAC Filed: December 24, 2018 FAC Filed: July 6, 2018 Complaint Filed: December 19, 2017 Trial Date: February 2, 2021

# **DECLARATION OF ALICIA Y. HOU**

I, ALICIA Y. HOU, declare as follows:

1. I am over 18 years of age and have personal knowledge of the facts and circumstances set forth in this declaration, and if called upon to do so, I could and would competently testify thereto.

2. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of California. I am an attorney with the law firm Akerman, LLP, attorneys of record for Defendant The GEO Group, Inc. (GEO) in this action.

This declaration is made in support of GEO's Ex Parte Application to 3. Extend Expert Rebuttal Deadline, Discovery Cutoff, Deadline to File Summary Judgment Motions, and Non-Discovery Motion Cutoff.

## **Document Production**

There are tens of thousands of documents that need to be reviewed and if 4. responsive, produced in response to the numerous discovery requests Plaintiffs have propounded. Specifically, Plaintiffs' discovery search terms have fielded over 32,000 potentially responsive documents.

## Written Discovery

5. On July 15, 2020 Plaintiff Campos served thirteen interrogatories and fifty four requests for admission.

On August 3, 2020, Plaintiff Mancia served four interrogatories and 6. The deadline to respond to these requests is thirteen requests for admissions. September 2, 2020.

Also on August 3 and 4, 2020, Plaintiffs collectively served their third 7. and fourth sets of requests for production of documents (totaling twelve additional requests for production of documents). Request No. 39 seeks all documents produced by GEO in two other pending class actions, including Menocal v. The GEO Group, which GEO has been litigating for six years and in which discovery closed on August

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

14, 2020. The deadline to respond to these requests is September 2 and 3, 2020. Attached hereto and incorporated herein as **Composite Exhibit 1** is a true and correct copy of Plaintiffs' Third and Fourth Sets of Requests for Production of Documents.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

8. Many of Plaintiffs' requests in the third and fourth sets of requests for production seek documents that are maintained at twelve of GEO's facilities which will require GEO's counsel to coordinate with each facility to obtain the records, which efforts are exacerbated given the COVID-19 pandemic.

9. Plaintiffs still need to respond to GEO's Request for Production of Documents, with responses due on August 31, 2020.

## **Expert Discovery**

Plaintiffs disclosed three separate experts on August 17, 2020. GEO 10. disclosed on expert on August 17, 2020. At a minimum, there are four expert depositions outstanding.

#### **Depositions**

Without accounting for expert depositions, there are also 6 other 11. depositions outstanding. The parties have scheduled a continued 30(b)(6) deposition for September 3, 2020. Plaintiffs have noticed two additional depositions of fact witnesses and two third parties. One of these depositions was noticed the day of this filing, August 21, 2020, without any conferral as to GEO or the witness's availability.

On August 7, 2020, Plaintiffs served amended initial disclosures listing 12. additional witnesses GEO may seek to depose.

## **Discovery Motions and Hearings**

Additionally, currently, Magistrate Kewalramani has ordered the parties 13. to be in "substantial compliance" with their discovery obligations by September 7, 2020 - a week before the discovery cutoff.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is GEO's understanding that GEO's "substantial compliance" obligations deal solely with the First and Second Sets of Production. CASE NO. 5:17-CV-02514-JGB-SHKX 54324559;1

14. Between July 23, 2020 and August 20, 2020, Plaintiffs have presented no less than 19 discrete discovery issues—many of which were revivals of resolved disputes—to GEO's counsel demanding immediate attention otherwise they would bring the issue before Magistrate Judge Kewalramani. Attached hereto and incorporated herein as **Composite Exhibit 2** are true and correct copies of select emails between Plaintiffs' counsel and GEO's counsel in support of same.

15. Additional discovery conference or conferral results in continual changes to the scope of GEO's production obligations.

16. The Parties did not reach final resolution of key discovery disputes until earlier this month. Attached hereto and incorporated herein as **Exhibit 3** is a true and correct copy of my August 6, 2020 e-mail to Plaintiffs' counsel confirming resolution of when production could begin on key RFPs.

17. On Tuesday, August 18, 2020, Plaintiffs' counsel wrote to Magistrate Judge Kewlaramani that they are concerned that given the short timeline, they are going to be unable to review all documents produced after the September 7, 2020 substantial compliance deadline with sufficient time to identify deficiencies therein, initial conferrals required under the local rules, and comply with the current deadline for discovery deadlines. Attached hereto and incorporated herein as **Exhibit 4** is a true and correct copy of Plaintiffs' counsel's August 18 e-mail to Magistrate Kewalramani.

18. On August 20, 2020, Plaintiffs appeared before Magistrate Kewalramani to resolve a discovery dispute concerning the third party deposition of the individual Jessie Flores during which Plaintiffs raised for the first time new allegations and legal claims.

B. Plaintiffs' Refusal to Agree to a Reasonable Extension

19. On or about July 27, 2020 and July 31, 2020 during the conferral calls on Plaintiffs' Motion to Approve Class Notice Plan, GEO's counsel raised the issue of

27

28

**AKERMAN LLP** 

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

#### Case 5:17-cv-02514-JGB-SHK Document 300-1 Filed 08/21/20 Page 5 of 5 Page ID #:6255

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

. 90071 ) 627-6342

601 WEST FIFTH STREET, SUITE 300 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071 TEL.: (213) 688-9500 - FAX: (213) 627-63 12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

54324559:1

**AKERMAN LLP** 

Plaintiffs' late filing and proposed the parties stipulate to a trial continuance. Plaintiffs' counsel Mallory Biblo advised they were not interested in continuing any dates.

20. On August 14, 2020, during a discovery hearing before Magistrate Kewalramani, Plaintiffs again expressed firmly they were not interested in a continuance of any dates.

On August 21, 2020, when conferring with counsel about continuing the 21. dates that are subject of this application so as to obviate the need for this ex parte application, Plaintiffs' counsel again refused to an extension of dates. Attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of the August 21 email I received from Plaintiffs' counsel.

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America and the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 21, 2020 at Los Angeles, California.

/s/ Alicia Y. Hou Alicia Y. Hou

CASE NO. 5:17-CV-02514-JGB-SHKX