	Case 3:17-cv-05806-RJB D	Document 422	Filed 10/16/20	Page 1 of 3		
			The Hon	norable Robert J. Bryan		
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA					
8						
9		1				
10	STATE OF WASHINGTON,		No.: 3:17-cv-0580			
11	Plaintiff,	THE SUPP	GEO GROUP, II LEMENTAL AU	NC.'S NOTICE OF UTHORITY		
12	V.					
13	THE GEO GROUP, INC.,					
14	Defendant.					
15	The GEO Group, Inc. ("Defendant" or "GEO"), by and through undersigned counsel,					
16	hereby provides the following Notice of Supplemental Authority pursuant to W.D. Wash.					
17	LCR 7(n):					
18	Excerpts from a hearing transcript held before the Honorable Magistrate Judge					
19	Hegarty in the District of Colorado in the case captioned Menocal v. The GEO					
20	Group, Inc., Case Number 1:14-cv-02887-JLK-MEH. Ex. A.					
21	During that hearing, Judge Hegarty considered what is marked as Exhibit 365 in this action,					
22	whose redactions are currently at issue. See ECF 421. The hearing transcript provides					
23	additional information about the genesis of the letter and the calculations at issue. In					
24	Menocal, the Court ordered GEO to produce the letter unredacted but found that the					
25	underlying calculations remained privileged work product and/or subject to protection under					
26	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 408.					

27

THE GEO GROUP, INC.'S NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY) (3:17-CV-05806-RJB)

AKERMAN LLP 1900 Sixteenth Street, Suite 1700 Denver, Colorado 80202 Telephone: 303-260-7712

1	Respectfully submitted, this 16th day of October, 2020.
2	By: s/ Adrienne Scheffey
3	AKERMAN LLP
	Colin L. Barnacle (Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Christopher J. Eby (Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
4	Adrienne Scheffey (Admitted pro hac vice)
5	1900 Sixteenth Street, Suite 1700
6	Denver, Colorado 80202 Telephone: (303) 260-7712
7	Facsimile: (303) 260-7714
7	Email: colin.barnacle@akerman.com
8	Email: christopher.eby@akerman.com Email: adrienne.scheffey@akerman.com
9	
10	By: s/ Joan K. Mell
	III BRANCHES LAW, PLLC Joan K. Mell, WSBA #21319
11	1019 Regents Boulevard, Suite 204
12	Fircrest, Washington 98466
13	Telephone: (253) 566-2510 Facsimile: (281) 664-4643
	Email: joan@3brancheslaw.com
14	Attomosys for Defendant The CEO Charm Inc
15	Attorneys for Defendant The GEO Group, Inc.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

THE GEO GROUP, INC.'S NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY (3:17-CV-05806-RJB) – PAGE 1

AKERMAN LLP

1900 Sixteenth Street, Suite 1700
Denver, Colorado 80202
Telephone: 303-260-7712

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE** 2 I hereby certify on the 16th day of October, 2020, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5(b), I electronically filed and served the foregoing THE GEO GROUP, INC.'S 3 NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY via the Court's CM/ECF system on the 4 5 following: 6 Marsha J. Chien Andrea Brenneke 7 Lane Polozola Patricio A. Marquez 8 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 9 Seattle, Washington 98104 10 Attorneys for Plaintiff 11 12 s/ Nick Mangels 13 Nick Mangels 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 AKERMAN LLP

PROOF OF SERVICE (3:17-CV-05806-RJB) – PAGE 2

1900 Sixteenth Street, Suite 1700 Denver, Colorado 80202 Telephone: 303-260-7712

EXHIBIT A

1

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF COLORADO				
2	DISTRICT OF COLORADO				
3	ALEJANDRO MENOCAL, MARCOS . Case No. 14-cv-02887-JLK-MEH BRAMBILA, GRISEL .				
4	XAHUENTITLA, HUGO . HERNANDEZ, LOURDES ARGUETA, .				
5	JESUS GAYTAN, OLGA ALEXAKLINA, DAGOBERTO				
6	VIZGUERRA, DEMETRIO VALERGA,. on their own behalf and on .				
7	behalf of all others . Alfred A. Arraj Courthouse similarly situated, . 901 19th Street				
8	Denver, CO 80294 Plaintiffs,				
9	vs.				
10	THE GEO GROUP, INC.,				
11	Defendants.				
12	. October 1, 2020 2:07 p.m.				
13					
14	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS HELD BEFORE THE HONORABLE				
15	MICHAEL E. HEGARTY, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE				
16	APPEARANCES:				
17	For the Plaintiffs: Outten & Golden, LLP By: Adam L. Koshkin*				
18	By: Rachel W. Dempsey* One California Street				
19	12th Floor				
20	San Francisco, CA 94111 (415) 638-8800				
21	Outten & Golden, LLP				
22	By: Michael J. Scimone* 685 Third Avenue 25th Floor				
23	New York, NY 10017 (212) 245-1000				
24	(212) 243-1000				
25	*By phone.				

1 goes. THE COURT: Okay. 2 3 MS. SCHEFFEY: And just to clarify, their experts have not provided dollar numbers. They have provided numbers 4 5 of employees they think it would take to perform certain 6 tasks. So these aren't really even apples and oranges. Any attack we would have would be on their analysis of, you know, 7 it takes 10 people to clean a table, as opposed to 2 people 9 to clean the table. 10 THE COURT: Okay. But articulate specifically why you're redacting. Every single reason why you're redacting. 11 MS. SCHEFFEY: Yeah. So the numbers that were 12 created and put in here are based on the assessment that GEO 13 did to participate in the settlement conference in front of 14 15 this Court in May 2018. THE COURT: So you produced them at my request to 16 17 advise me of your position so I could determine what a 18 reasonable settlement would be? MS. SCHEFFEY: Yes, Your Honor. And Dana was 19 20 here, so I might actually turn it over to him. But yes, my understanding is they put them together for the settlement 21 22 conference, and then there was an agreement that ICE was 23 necessary. THE COURT: Okay. So you'll have to speak into a 24 25 microphone.

```
MR. EISMEIER: The settlement conference was on
 1
 2
    May 2nd, as you'll recall. At that time, you were only
 3
    acting in the capacity as a settlement judge.
 4
              And at that conference, without going into what
 5
    was said, you know, attorney-client, but it was all by saying
 6
    we're going -- nothing will happen without ICE.
 7
              And one of the issues that went on through May, as
    you know, that conference stayed open for some time to decide
 8
 9
    whether ICE could get involved and whether that would work.
10
              So GEO's position is: It went back, and only
    because of the settlement conference, generated numbers which
11
    were based upon obviously calculations that would have been
12
13
    only within GEO, in response to the settlement conference.
              But for that settlement conference, we wouldn't be
14
15
    having this discussion about this document today.
              THE COURT: Okay. So why isn't this covered by
16
    Rule 408?
17
18
              MR. EISMEIER: From GEO's point of view, it is.
    It isn't just privilege. It's also 408. So there are layers
19
20
    here.
21
               THE COURT: Okay.
22
               MS. DEMPSEY: And I would just point the Court to,
23
    again, to the testimony of Brian Evans, who was GEO's CFO.
24
   He was obviously under oath when he gave that testimony, and
25
   he did not say in any way that these calculations were
```

1 prepared related to settlement. It was, and I quote, "to calculate what the 2 3 Government could owe us if the Court decided unfavorably against us, because we have a claim under the law against the 4 5 federal Government for implementing their program." 6 And I can point you to the page number where he 7 gives that testimony. But I think that's entirely consistent with what Mr. Eismeier is representing. 9 THE COURT: Right. But if it was done in 10 connection -- directly connected to an effort to settle the case in front of a judicial officer, and done at my 11 suggestions that they come up with a number to help me be 12 13 educated and negotiate a settlement, and that's the purpose of it, it's straight up 408 as far as I can see. 14 MS. DEMPSEY: Well, so, for one thing, this is the 15 first time you've heard this argument. And again --16 17 THE COURT: No, it's not an argument. I was the 18 first one to mention 408 because it screams 408 if it was produced as part of a settlement effort that I engaged in. 19 20 This is pretty pedestrian stuff that happens all the time. 21 And you produce a lot of numbers for me in a 22 settlement conference that you never intend to see the light 23 of day, because you're doing it just for purposes of 24 discussion and negotiation, and not for purposes of using it 25 in the litigation.