

Cc: 'Brian.P.Hale@dhs.gov'; Gonzalez, Barbara M; Mendoza, Mike
Subject: RE: NYT materials

Just talked to OPLA about this as well. Adding Riah.

Riah – can someone on your team assist?

Many thanks,
Gillian

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, May 01, 2014 2:48 PM
To: Christensen, Gillian M; Landy, Kevin; Bernacke, Michael V; Johnson, Tae D
Cc: 'Brian.P.Hale@dhs.gov'; Gonzalez, Barbara M; Mendoza, Mike
Subject: RE: NYT materials

Adding Mike from CFO

--

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Chief of Staff – Management and Administration
Office of the Director
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
P: 202.732.(b)(6)
BB: 202.422.(b)(6);

From: Christensen, Gillian M
Sent: Thursday, May 01, 2014 2:48 PM
To: Landy, Kevin; Bernacke, Michael V; Johnson, Tae D
Cc: 'Brian.P.Hale@dhs.gov'; Gonzalez, Barbara M; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: NYT materials

Adding CFO.

(b)(6); – Can you assist?

From: Landy, Kevin
Sent: Thursday, May 01, 2014 2:47 PM
To: Christensen, Gillian M; Bernacke, Michael V; Johnson, Tae D
Cc: 'Brian.P.Hale@dhs.gov'; Gonzalez, Barbara M
Subject: RE: NYT materials

As I indicated yesterday, I question the accuracy of this statement:
Facilities are reimbursed a minimum of \$1 per day by ICE per Congressional appropriation standards.
Has someone concluded that the 1979 appropriations provision remains binding on ICE in perpetuity? I don't think that's typically true for language inserted in appropriations bills.

Also, the second table has an error:

****Most provide extra priviledges****

From: Christensen, Gillian M
Sent: Thursday, May 01, 2014 2:25 PM
To: Bernacke, Michael V; Landy, Kevin; Johnson, Tae D
Cc: 'Brian.P.Hale@dhs.gov'; Gonzalez, Barbara M
Subject: Fw: NYT materials

Ok gents -

Here is the final DHS has approved to go to the reporter. They tweaked the statement again. Let me know (if you can) within the hour if you have any objections to the tweaks.

Thanks!
Gillian

From: Catron, Marsha
Sent: Thursday, May 01, 2014 02:22 PM
To: Christensen, Gillian M; Hale, Brian P; Gonzalez, Barbara M
Cc: Boogaard, Peter
Subject: NYT materials

Thanks for your continued work and patience on this one.
Ok to provide below. I have one final question on doc attached—are all those “ICE facilities” or facilities ICE contracts with? If latter, should make clear in the header. Thank you!

STATEMENT

“ICE detention standards impose safeguards governing the operation of voluntary work programs at ICE detention facilities, including training and health and safety standards.

Facilities that house the overwhelming majority of ICE detainees provide compensation for participation in voluntary work programs. Facilities are reimbursed a minimum of \$1 per day by ICE per Congressional appropriation standards. As of April 2014, the majority of those participating in some sort of voluntary work program – well over 95% - were provided monetary compensation.

Individuals volunteering for work in programs that do not provide monetary compensation are located in a small number of facilities that are not managed by ICE, such as county jails. These facilities maintain voluntary work programs for their own criminal population that provide non-monetary benefits and privileges. Because it is not feasible for some jails to provide monetary compensation exclusively to ICE detainees, the agency has permitted ICE detainees to voluntarily participate in such programs in exchange for the prevailing non-monetary compensation, while ensuring that the jails comply with all other safeguards and requirements in the ICE detention standard governing voluntary work programs.

ICE continues to conduct oversight of the voluntary work programs at detention facilities it uses, and it is engaged in an ongoing review of its practices in this area.”

BACKGROUND

Custody Programs
ICE/ERO
202.732(b)(6);

****sent via iPad****

-----Original Message-----

From: Rogers, Andrea R
Sent: Friday, March 14, 2014 10:20 AM Eastern Standard Time
To: Lorenzen-Strait, Andrew R
Subject: FW: Northwest Detention Center

Hello Andrew,

We have a hunger-strike related superhero mission for you. The below message describes a number of items we are collecting for members of Congress – you can ignore that material. I've highlighted our urgent need for your talents – OCR has committed ERO to talk to advocate Sandy Restrepo. The field office is reluctant to engage her for a number of reasons, and the overwhelming consensus is that you would be the best spokesperson from ERO to do so. AD Miller asked that I reach out to you to see if you are up to the challenge, and if so, you are welcome to reach out to (b)(6);(b) and (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) on any particulars. Let me know how you'd like to handle so I can lose the loop with OCR? Also, fyi, I've attached the last SITREP reports I have from the field on the "strikes". Obviously the situation is fluid and more reporting will likely arrive today.

Thank you much, (b)(6);(b)

From: Wilcox, Bryan S
Sent: Wednesday, March 12, 2014 8:47 PM
To: Miller, Philip T; Rogers, Andrea R
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Northwest Detention Center

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Per your conversation with (b)(6);(b) we feel that a written response to most of these questions should come from HQ, as our answers would apply to all ICE detention and would not be specific to the NWDC.

I've highlighted in the questions below, which office I think should provide the answer as well as the few things we can provide locally that are specific to the NWDC.

Please see my comments/highlights below:

Requests from Reps. Adam Smith and Suzan DelBene