

FILED
4/4/2022 9:38 AM
IRIS Y. MARTINEZ
CIRCUIT CLERK
COOK COUNTY, IL
2020CH04431
Calendar, 11
17347901

Exhibit 9

Re:Re: 20CH4431

From: [REDACTED]@hotmail.com>


To jackiestevens@protonmail.com

Date: Friday, January 7th, 2022 at 10:33 AM

Hi Jackie,

Apologies for not getting back to you earlier.


The order Mr Rathur is referring to is not on the list of the documents I have, so I have not seen it. This is the list and there is nothing on Nov 29th?



I was referring to the documents from Nov 1st and 2nd, please see the list here:

FILED DATE: 4/4/2022 9:38 AM 2020CH04431

FILED DATE: 4/4/2022 9:38 AM 2020CH04431



I hope this helps!

My best,

XXXXXXXX

Sent from my Huawei phone

----- Original message -----

From: Jackie Stevens <jackiestevens@protonmail.com>

Date: Thu, Jan 6, 2022, 15:53

To: XXXXXXXX@protonmail.com; XXXXXXXX@hotmail.com>

Subject: Fw: Re: 20CH4431

Hi XXXXXXXX

When you get a chance please take a look. Is this right? Did I incorrectly hear the date?

Thanks so much,

Jackie

----- Original Message -----

On Thursday, January 6th, 2022 at 4:47 PM, ccc chancerycalendar11

<ccc.chancerycalendar11@cookcountyil.gov> wrote:

Ms. Stevens:

I believe the order you are referring to is dated 11/29/21 which I have attached to this email. Additionally, we are still in the process of double-checking to see if the Clerk's Office has the documents as ordered.

Best,

Mohammed Rathur
Staff Attorney to the Honorable Pamela McLean Meyerson
Circuit Court of Cook County, Chancery Division
50 West Washington, Courtroom 2305
Chicago, IL 60602

From: Jackie Stevens <jackiestevens@protonmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 5, 2022 3:42 PM
To: ccc chancerycalendar11 <ccc.chancerycalendar11@cookcountyil.gov>
Subject: 20CH4431

External Message Disclaimer

This message originated from an external source. Please use proper judgment and caution opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email.

Dear Mr. Rathur,

My research assista [REDACTED] was just at the Chancery Court. She informs me that there are discrepancies between the list of documents restricted on the list sent to me by the Chancery Court and the list submitted to the Chancery Court by Judge Meyerson in her order of 11/2/2021.

According to Mr. DeFranco, the order of 11/2/2021 itself currently is "restricted."

In light of Judge Meyerson's prior and subsequent orders that matters pertaining to the unsealing of case records be made public I am wondering if this is indeed Judge Meyerson's intention for this particular order. It would of course be useful for me to have access to her order on the documents that are to be released to the public, both to confirm that I am able to access the files ordered released and also for purposes of my amended complaint.

Thank you so much for your help with this,

Jacqueline Stevens

Professor

Northwestern University